

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being filed via
EFS-Web with the United States Patent and Trademark Office
on July 18, 2006.

PATENT
Attorney Docket No.: 20174C-004960US
Client Ref. No.: CIT 3484-CIP-CIP-CIP-CIP1

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP

By: /Nina L. McNeill/
Nina L. McNeill

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

CARL L. HANSEN et al.

Application No.: 10/810,350

Filed: March 26, 2004

For: MICROFLUIDIC PROTEIN
CRYSTALLOGRAPHY TECHNIQUES

Customer No.: 20350

Confirmation No. 8250

Examiner: Robert M. Kunemund

Technology Center/Art Unit: 1722

RESPONSE

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed June 6, 2006, please enter the following.

Claims 1 – 33 have been examined. Claims 22 – 33 provisionally stand rejected under the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as unpatentable over Claims 6 – 18 of U.S. Pat. Appl. No. 11/135,923¹ and over Claims 49 – 64 of U.S. Pat. Appl. No. 11/133,805; Claims 1 – 33 provisionally stand rejected under the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as unpatentable over Claims 1 – 26 of U.S. Pat. Appl. No.

¹ It is believed that the indication in the Office Action that the rejection is over U.S. Pat. Appl. No. 11/135,933 is a typographical error.